

of its network. It was found that of the nearly 800-knlong network, about 6% of the pipes were more than 4(years old, while 30% were between 10 and 20 years ol. At many places, the supply pipes were running paralel to sewage lines, and in case of leaks, the water was mixing with sewage.

DJB's CEO Arun Mathur said: "Our treatment plants are overworked. While water that is treated at our plants is safe for consumption when it leaves the WTP — for we are conforming to al international standards like those set by WHO — by the time it reaches the consumer, its quality deteriorates abit."

"The easiest way to tel that he water is unfit for consumption is when it startssmellng. There have also been reports of consumers spotting lirt in their water. Such water will have a high content of coliform and e-coli bacteria that are responsible for stonach ailments," said an official of the Central PollutionControl Board (CPCB).

Mathur agreed that the pipes were really old and needed to be replaced. "Many of the pipes are rusty and corroded. We have appointed a consultant to check for leakages in our system, but even if we work to our full capacity, there is only a small perentage of pipes that we can change annually." In about 75% of the cases inspected by them for contamination last rear, it was the old and leaking pipe network of the consumer that was to blame.

"Section 15 (4) of the Water loard Act states that if the internal pipe system is more than 15 years old, the consumer is supposed to get it ciecked and replaced in But DJB officials admit that a lack of trained manpower is affecting its operations. Like for instance, water in tanks needs to be chlorinated at regular intervals, but one has to be careful to put in the right amount as excessive chlorine can be harmful. A member of a south Delhi RWA alleges that the man adding chlorine to their main water tank is a guard, and the water stinks of the chemical. "For 8-9 months, no chlorine was being added to our supply. It was only after the intervention of a local politician that the DJB send someone," said a resident of Safdarjung Enclave, Pankaj Agarwal.

Consumers can't do much if the water supply is poor. As M S Vani of Development Centre for Alternative Policies points out, the government is doing a service by providing water and hence there is no legal mandate for it to provide drinking water. "Consumers can still approach the court under the Consumer Protection Act since drinking water directly affects a person's right to life," she said.

Nitin Saxena, president of a consumer protection trust, says the Consumer Protection Act recognises the rights to safety and says one can protest against non-availability of services, and one can also file a PIL in the court. "Civic bodies are entrusted with public funds to maintain amenities and they are duty-bound to make sure they are available to consumers. In that case, they can be hauled up for violation of consumer rights. However, one may also argue that the taxes being paid for consumer services are to run the administration as a whole and hence the court may not have a jurisdiction over municipal services. Each case then is built upon specific complaints and action taken, if any, accordingly," he said.